

Computability of Vaughtian Models:

Lecture 1: Degrees of Prime Models

by

Robert I. Soare

The University of Chicago

MATHLOGAPS Conference

Leeds, U.K. Aug. 21–25, 2006

WEB SITE:

www.math.uchicago.edu/~soare/ or

www.cs.uchicago.edu/~soare/

Vaught 1961

Denumerable Models of Complete Theories T

Convention, Vaught [1961, §1–4].

- T a countable complete theory.
- We consider only *countable* models $\mathcal{A} \models T$.

Vaughtian Models:

- **Prime** = smallest model $\mathcal{A} \models T$ if \exists .
- **Atomic** = Prime for \mathcal{A} countable.
- **Saturated** = largest $\mathcal{A} \models T$ if \exists .
- **Homogeneous** = uniformly distributed.

Prime \implies Homogeneous.

Saturated \implies Homogeneous.

See Soare Tutorial Chap. 1 *Model Theory*,
pp. 3–21, and tutorial session Mon. evening.

The period from the 1950's through the 1960's was extraordinarily fertile for both model theory and computability theory. A series of papers analyzed the concept of types in the Stone space $S(T)$ with ever more powerful results.

MODEL THEORY: Vaught [1958] [1961]*, [1963]. D. Marker [2002, p. 172] described [1961] as “one of the most elegant papers in model theory,”

Morley further developed analysis of types for epochal theorem [1965].

Poizat [2000, p. 237] wrote,

“Morley, who by proving the first theorem on structure, . . . is the founder of stability theory, that is to say, of contemporary model theory.”

Computability Theory

Tutorial Notes, Chap. 2. Computability.

From new book:

Soare, *Computability Theory and Applications*,
Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, to appear.

(Also covered in Tutorial session.)

Building Models: Henkin

T a (countable) consistent theory.

Thm. Godel (1930) T has a model \mathcal{A} .

Proof. Henkin (1949) Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(T)$.

1. Expand $\mathcal{L}_c = \mathcal{L} \cup \{c_k\}_{k \in \omega}$, form T_c by adding *Henkin axioms* of the form

$$(\exists x)\theta(x) \longrightarrow \theta(c)$$

2. (**Lindenbaum**) Take complete extn T'_c of T_c .
3. Define the canonical model \mathcal{A} for T'_c .

Universe $A = \{c^* : c \in \mathcal{L}_c\}$ where

$$c^* = \{d : T'_c \vdash c = d\}.$$

Define function $F^{\mathcal{A}}(c^*) = d^*$ iff $F(c) = d$ is a sentence of T'_c likewise relations $R^{\mathcal{A}}$.

Lindenbaum's Lemma on a Tree

Def. (i) Let $\{\sigma_k\}_{k \in \omega} =$ all \mathcal{L}_c -sentences. Let σ^1 denote σ and σ^0 denote $\neg\sigma$. For $\alpha \in 2^{<\omega}$ define

$$\sigma_\alpha = \bigwedge_{i < |\alpha|} \sigma_i^{\alpha(i)}.$$

(ii) Fix T_c an \mathcal{L}_c -theory T_c . Define the **TREE**

$$\mathcal{T}_0(T_c) = \{\sigma_\alpha : T_c \vdash \sigma_\alpha\},$$

the tree of sentences provable in T_c .

(iii) $[\mathcal{T}_0(T_c)] =$ set of paths of $\mathcal{T}_0(T_c)$.

Note. For any path $f \in [\mathcal{T}_0(T_c)]$

canonical model $\mathcal{A}_f \models T_c$.

(See diagram of tree $\mathcal{T}_0(T_c)$.)

Decidable Models

Def. (i) If T a theory and $\mathcal{A} \models T$ the *elementary diagram* $D^e(\mathcal{A})$ is the set of all $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$ -sentences true in \mathcal{A} .

(ii) Model \mathcal{A} is *decidable* if $D^e(\mathcal{A})$ is.

Thm. If T is a decidable theory, then T has a decidable model.

Proof.

1. T is decidable.
2. T_c is decidable.
3. Tree $\mathcal{T}_0(T_c)$ is decidable and extendible.
4. \exists computable $f \in [\mathcal{T}_0(T_c)]$.
5. Canonical model \mathcal{A}_f is decidable.

This gives us a reliable way to build a variety of models but no way to guarantee that they are prime or saturated. To this we must control the types as we construct f .

Formulas and Types

T a complete *decidable* (CD) theory (now on).

$\mathbf{F}_n(\mathbf{T}) = \{ \theta(x_0, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \}$ fmlas in $\mathcal{L}(T)$ with n free variables and $F(T) = \cup_n F_n(T)$.

$\mathbf{B}_n(\mathbf{T}) =$ *Lindenbaum algebra* of equiv. classes
 $\theta(\bar{x})^* = \{ \psi(\bar{x}) : T \vdash (\forall \bar{x}) [\theta(\bar{x}) = \psi(\bar{x})] \}$.

Let $F(T) = \{ \theta_j(\bar{x}) \}_{j \in \omega}$. For $\alpha \in 2^{<\omega}$ define

$$\theta_\alpha(\bar{x}) = \bigwedge \{ \theta_i^{\alpha(i)}(\bar{x}) : i < |\alpha| \},$$

for $\theta^1 = \theta$, $\theta^0 = \neg\theta$.

Tree. $\mathcal{T}_n(T) = \{ \theta_\alpha(\bar{x}) : (\exists \bar{x}) [\theta_\alpha(\bar{x})] \in F_n(T) \}$.
 (Identify fmla θ_α and index α .)

$\mathbf{S}_n(\mathbf{T})$ is the set of *n-types*: $S_n(T) = [\mathcal{T}_n(T)]$.

Define $S(T) = \cup_n S_n(T)$ all types.

This pix includes $F(t)$ and $S(T)$ and prin types (red) rank 0 and nonprin types (blue) either rank 1 or rank ∞ .

Atomic Trees

Def. $S_n(T) \subset 2^\omega$ has clopen sets,

$$\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \{f : f \in S_n(T) \ \& \ \alpha \subset p\}.$$

Def. Let \mathcal{T} be an extendible tree (every $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ extends to $f \in [\mathcal{T}]$).

(i) Nodes $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{T}$ *split* node α if $\alpha \subset \beta$, $\alpha \subset \gamma$, and $\beta \not\subset \gamma$.

(ii) $\alpha \in \mathcal{T}$ is an *atom* if α does not split, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{U}_\alpha \cap [\mathcal{T}] = \{f\}, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$(\exists! f \supset \alpha)[f \in [\mathcal{T}]].$$

α is a *generator* of f ; α *isolates* f .

(iii) Tree \mathcal{T} is *atomic* if

$$(\forall \beta \in \mathcal{T})(\exists \alpha \supset \beta)[\alpha \in \mathcal{T}].$$

Principal Types and Atomic Models

Def. For any model $\mathcal{A} \models T$ define

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{A}) = \{p : p \in S(T) \text{ \& } \mathcal{A} \text{ realizes } p\}.$$

Def. A type $p \in S_n(T)$ is *principal (isolated)* if some atom in $\mathcal{T}_n(T)$ isolates it. Define

$$S^P(T) = \{p : p \text{ is a principal type of } S(T)\}.$$

Note. $S^P(T) \subseteq \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{A})$ for every $\mathcal{A} \models T$.

Proof. Let $p(\bar{x})$ have generator $\theta(\bar{x})$. Then $(\exists \bar{x})\theta(\bar{x}) \in T$ since T is complete.

Def. Model $\mathcal{A} \models T$ is *atomic* if every $a \in |\mathcal{A}|$ realizes a principal type, *i.e.*,

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{A}) = S^P(T).$$

Prime Models and Atomic Theories

Def. A theory T is *atomic* if $\mathcal{T}_n(T)$ is atomic for every n .

Def. $\mathcal{A} \models T$ is *prime* if $\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{B}$ for every $\mathcal{B} \models T$.

Thm. (Vaught) \mathcal{A} is prime iff countable and atomic.

Thm. (Vaught) A theory T has a prime model iff T is atomic.

Def. \mathcal{A} is *homogeneous* iff for all \bar{a} , and \bar{b} ,

$$(\mathcal{A}, \bar{a}) \equiv (\mathcal{A}, \bar{b}) \implies (\exists G \in \text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})) [G(\bar{a}) = \bar{b}].$$

Thm. (Vaught) If \mathcal{A} is prime \mathcal{A} is homogeneous.

Example: Atomic Theory

Def. DLO

Theory of dense linear orderings w/o endpoints.

Example $\mathcal{A} = (A, <)$, $A = \text{rationals}$.

Take **theory** $T = Th(\mathcal{A}, <, A)$.

$|S_1(T)| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ but T is atomic.

Isolated (principal) type: $x = q$

Nonisolated type: $p(x)$ for $x = \sqrt{2}$. We write:

$$p(x) = \{x < q : q^2 > 2\} \cup \{x > q : q^2 < 2\}.$$

(See diagram of atomic tree.)

Diagram 1: Finding Atoms

\mathcal{T} : A computable extendible atomic tree.

Search. $(\forall \sigma \in \mathcal{T})(\exists \text{ atom } \tau \supseteq \sigma)[\tau \in \mathcal{T}]$.

Diagram 1: Uniform Matrix

T : A Complete Decidable (CD) theory, $\mathcal{A} \models T$.

From $D^e(\mathcal{A})$ we get uniform listing of all types $\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{A})$ namely $\{p(\bar{a}) : \bar{a} \in \mathcal{A}\}$.

Decidable Prime Models

Let T be *complete atomic decidable* (CAD).

Thm. (Millar) There is a CAD theory T with no decidable prime model.

Def. A $\mathbf{0}$ -basis for a countable class $\{A_y\}_{y \in \omega}$ is a function $g \leq \mathbf{0}$ s.t. $g(x, y) = A_y(x)$.
(Uniformly computable matrix g .)

Thm. (Goncharov-Nurtazin, Harrington)

If T is a CAD theory TFAE:

- (i) T has a decidable prime model \mathcal{A} .
- (ii) $S^P(T)$ has a $\mathbf{0}$ -basis.
- (iii) $(\exists g \leq_T \mathbf{0})(\forall \theta_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n(T))[\theta_\alpha \subset g_\alpha \in S_n^P(T)]$,
where $g_\alpha = \lambda y [g(\alpha, y)]$ is path in $[\mathcal{T}_n(T)]$.

Proving the Criterion

Proof sketch. (i) \implies (ii). Let \mathcal{A} be decidable,

$$\mathbb{T}(\mathcal{A}) = \{ p(\bar{a}) : \bar{a} \in \mathcal{A} \}.$$

(ii) \implies (iii). Given $\{p_i\}_{i \in \omega} = \mathbb{T}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\theta_\alpha \in \mathcal{T}_n(T)$ find first n -type p_i with $\theta_\alpha \in p_i$.

(iii) \implies (ii). As θ_α ranges through the $\mathcal{T}_n(T)$ path g_α ranges through $S_n^P(T)$ uniformly in n .

(ii) \implies (i).** This is the *main import* of the theorem, a priority argument.

Remark. (ii) \implies (i) not obvious. Choose a 1-type p_1 , put $p(c_1)$ into $D^e(\mathcal{A})$.

For (c_1, c_2) we need 2-type p_2 consistent with p_1 , then put $p_2(c_1, c_2)$ into $D^e(\mathcal{A})$.

But consistency of p_1 and p_2 is a Π_1 property, decidable in $\mathbf{0}'$.

Proof of (ii) \implies (i)

Consider a limit p_2^s for the correct 2-type. As each one fails, move to the next one which is s -consistent with $p_1^s(c_1)$.

Eventually come to atom $\alpha \in p_1$ and thereafter no injury.

Undecidable Prime Models

Def. $\mathbf{0}' = K = \{e : e \in W_e\}$, halting problem.

Thm. If T is a CAD theory T has a prime model decidable in $\mathbf{0}'$.

Prf. $\mathbf{0}'$ can decide (ii) or (iii) of previous criterion relative to $\mathbf{0}'$, or apply original Vaught proof with $\mathbf{0}'$ as oracle to detect atoms.

Def. $dgSp(\mathcal{A}) = \{deg(D^e(\mathcal{B})) : \mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{A}\}$.
degree spectrum of \mathcal{A} .

Thm (Knight). If \mathcal{A} is a countable structure in a relational language, then either $dgSp(\mathcal{A})$ is a singleton, or $dgSp(\mathcal{A})$ is closed upwards.

Consider pix of degrees below K .
 K is red (we can find prime model below K)
 0 is blue (we cannot.)
Now consider entire class of Delta-2 degrees.

Turing Reducibility

Oracle Machines

Def. **Thm.**

Limit Computable Sets

Def. A is *limit computable* if there is a uniformly computable sequence $\{A_s\}_{s \in \omega}$ s.t. $A = \lim_s A_s$. (See diagram).

Limit Lemma. TFAE:

- (i) f is limit computable.
- (ii) $A \leq_T \emptyset'$.
- (iii) $A \in \Delta_2$.

Degrees $\leq 0'$

Def.

Def. Thm.

Forcing and 1-Generic Sets

Def. Given c.e. set $V_e \subseteq 2^{<\omega}$. We say $f \in 2^\omega$ forces V_e if we satisfy the *forcing* requirement,

$$F_e : (\exists \sigma \subset f) [\sigma \in V_e \vee (\forall \rho \supset \sigma) [\rho \notin V_e]].$$

We say that σ forces F_e and any $f \supset \sigma$ forces F_e .

(ii) f is *1-generic* if f forces W_e , all $e \in \omega$.

Thm. A set A is 1-generic iff A satisfies for all e following requirement J_e which is called *forcing the jump*

$$J_e : (\exists \sigma \subset A) [\Phi_e^\sigma(e) \downarrow \vee (\forall \tau \supseteq \sigma) [\Phi_e^\tau(e) \uparrow]].$$

(We decide whether $e \in A'$.)

Prime Model Low Basis Theorem

Thm. (Csima) Every complete decidable atomic (CAD) theory T has a low prime model (*i.e.*, $D^e(\mathcal{A})$ is low).

Build $f = D^e(\mathcal{A})$ by $\mathbf{0}'$ - construction which forces jump on $f = \cup f_s$.

Stage $s+1$. Given f_s .

Step 1. Find an extension $f' \supset f_s$, $f' \in \mathcal{T}_0(T)$, which forces the jump.

Step 2. Find $f'' \supset f'$, $f'' \in \mathcal{T}_0(T)$, s.t. it forces $\langle c_0, c_1, \dots, c_s \rangle$ to satisfy an atom of $\mathcal{T}_s(T)$. Let $f_{s+1} = f''$.

Refutes: Conjecture [Clote]. There is a CAD theory T s.t. $D^e(\mathcal{A}) \geq_T \mathbf{0}'$ for every prime $\mathcal{A} \models T$.

No Decidable Prime Model

Thm (Millar). There is a CAD theory T with no decidable prime model.

Proof. By Harrington it suffices to construct T such that there is no uniformly computable listing of $S^P(T)$, *i.e.*, no computable matrix $g(x, y)$ such that

$$S^P(T) = \{g_y\}_{y \in \omega}$$

where row $g_y = \lambda x [g(x, y)]$.

Game. This is a game between

T-Player. Plays a CAD theory T .

A-Player. Plays a prime model $\mathcal{A} \models T$.

Win. The \mathcal{A} -player *wins* if \mathcal{A} has the desired degree (computable, $\mathbf{0}'$, low, etc.) and the T -player wins otherwise.